### **Authors:**

Daniel Finkelstein Mathematica Policy Research, Inc.

> Robert Whitaker Temple University

Elaine Hill Mathematica Policy Research, Inc.

Mary Kay Fox Mathematica Policy Research, Inc.

Linda Mendenko Mathematica Policy Research, Inc.

Kimberly Boller Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. The work reflected in this publication was performed under Contract Number #233-02-0086/HHSP233200600006T awarded by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services to Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. government.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We would like to thank all of the Head Start program staff members who took the time to complete the study questionnaire and describe their experiences with "I Am Moving, I Am Learning (IM/IL)." At the Administration for Children and Families, we thank our project officers, Laura Hoard and Lauren Supplee of the Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation, for their expert guidance during the design of the evaluation and the survey instrument and for their insightful review of the report. We thank Robin Brocato at the Office of Head Start for providing feedback on the survey instrument and the report. We benefited greatly from learning about IM/IL directly from Nancy Elmore and Amy Requa at Region III and Linda Carson at West Virginia University. We also thank Karen McKinney of the Office of Head Start, Mary Story at the University of Minnesota, and Russell Pate at the University of South Carolina for their insightful feedback on the development of the study questionnaire. At Mathematica, we thank Joseph Baker for his work fielding the survey, Gloria Gustus for support conducting the survey, Sue Golden and her team for ensuring the quality of the data entry, Mary Kay Crepinsek for her critical review of the report, and Eileen Curley for her assistance with the production of the report.

# **CONTENTS**

1	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	vii
INTRODUCTION	1
METHODOLOGY	3
Questionnaire Development and Administration  Data Analysis	3 5
RESULTS	6
Program Context	6 10 14 16 17 17 18
SUMMARY OF KEY FINDINGS NEXT STEPS IN THE EVALUATION	
REFERENCES  APPENDIX A: I AM MOVING, I AM LEARNING IMPLEMENTATION EVALUATION STUDY QUESTIONNAIRE	

# **TABLES**

Table		Page
1	COMPARISON OF PROGRAM CHARACTERISTICS: HEAD START PROGRAMS IN REGION III THAT DID AND DID NOT PARTICIPATE IN THE SPRING 2006 I AM MOVING, I AM LEARNING (IM/IL) TRAINING-OF-TRAINERS EVENT	7
2	RATINGS OF AGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ABOUT THE SPRING 2006 IM/IL TRAINING-OF-TRAINERS	8
3	RATINGS OF THE AMOUNT OF TIME SPENT ON TOPICS DURING THE SPRING 2006 <i>IM/IL</i> TRAINING-OF-TRAINERS EVENT	8
4	DIFFERENCE IN THE PROGRAMS' RATINGS OF PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF IM/IL GOALS IN COMPARISON WITH OTHER HEAD START SERVICES AND ACTIVITIES BEFORE AND AFTER IM/IL TRAINING-OF-TRAINERS EVENT	9
5	TRAINING MODELS USED BY <i>IM/IL</i> PROGRAMS THAT PROVIDED TRAINING	11
6	IM/IL ENHANCEMENTS IMPLEMENTED WITH CHILDREN	12
7	PROGRAMS' LEVEL OF IMPLEMENTATION RATINGS: I AM MOVING, I AM LEARNING (IM/IL) GOALS	15
8	STAFF ENTHUSIASM ABOUT <i>IM/IL</i> AND <i>IM/IL</i> GOALS	16
9	STAFF ENTHUSIASM, IMPLEMENTATION SUPPORTS AND CHALLENGES, BY LEVEL OF IMPLEMENTATION	19
10	Type of Training, by Level of Implementation	21
11	TYPES OF IM/IL ENHANCEMENTS IMPLEMENTED, BY LEVEL OF	99

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Creative approaches to obesity prevention have begun in Head Start with a program enhancement called "I Am Moving, I Am Learning" (IM/IL), which is intended to (1) increase the quantity of time children spend in moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) during their daily routine; (2) improve the quality of structured movement activities that are facilitated by teachers and adults; and (3) promote healthy food choices for children each day. In the spring of 2006, Head Start Region III provided 53 Head Start programs with a 2½-day IM/IL training-of-trainers (TOT) event for up to five staff members per program. The trainers and Region III staff encouraged participants to tailor the IM/IL enhancements to their own programs. The Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation (OPRE) under the Administration for Children and Families (ACF) contracted with Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. (MPR) to conduct an implementation evaluation of the IM/IL enhancements in Region III. The purpose of this study is to examine the extent to which grantees who participated in the spring 2006 regional TOT event are implementing IM/IL enhancements. In spring 2007, MPR conducted a survey of the 53 Head Start programs that had participated in the TOT event.

The key findings from the survey include:

- One year after the TOT event, the participating programs gave the training a positive overall rating. Respondents rated the event highly on its organization and the information that was presented. However, 40 percent of directors wanted more time to plan their own implementation during the TOT event.
- Ninety-six percent of programs tried to implement *IM/IL* in the year following the training event. Over 60 percent of programs provided pre-service and inservice training on *IM/IL*. The total number of training hours in each program was a median of 6 hours per program (range 1 to 24 hours).
- Programs implemented more enhancements related to MVPA and structured movement than enhancements related to nutrition.
- As part of *IM/IL*, two-thirds of programs offered activities to alter the eating and physical activity behaviors of parents, and half did so with their staff. Half the programs reported having identified at least one community organization as a partner. Forty-four percent of programs were doing all three.
- Almost half of the programs perceived that they were successful in implementing *IM/IL*. Enthusiasm of staff and the quality of the TOT event were the two most commonly reported factors contributing to the success of implementation. Compared to programs that did not perceive themselves as implementers, high implementing programs were more likely to leave the TOT with a written plan for their *IM/IL* implementation. However, among all programs with a written plan following the TOT, roughly the same percentage of high implementing and other programs had a plan one year later. High implementing programs provided twice as many hours of training to staff relative to other programs.
- It is not clear that the current program-level implementation efforts can be sustained. One year after the training event, only half of the programs reported

having a written plan for IM/IL implementation. Many programs have enthusiastic staff and a capable leader directing the IM/IL efforts, but many reported that program managers did not have enough time to devote to IM/IL.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

There are two to three times as many obese children in the United States as there were 20 years ago (Ogden et al. 2002). To arrest this trend, both the Surgeon General (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 2001) and the Institute of Medicine (Institute of Medicine 2005) have suggested that efforts to prevent obesity should begin early in life. A major reason for beginning prevention early is that the prevalence of obesity has increased even among preschoolers (Hedley et al. 2004; Ogden et al. 2002; Sherry et al. 2004), many of whom remain obese into adolescence (Freedman et al. 1987).

In the consideration of obesity prevention strategies for early childhood, there are compelling reasons to focus on children in racial/ethnic minority groups who live in low-income households. Among adults in the United States, there is a marked disparity among racial/ethnic groups in the prevalence of obesity (Ogden et al. 2006). It is not known at exactly what age the disparity begins, but it appears to be established by adolescence (Gordon-Larsen et al. 2003; Winkleby et al. 1999). However, the origin of this disparity may lie in the preschool years, because it is in this period of development that activity and dietary behaviors are shaped. Moreover, parents and other adults may influence young children's weight through the types of eating behaviors that they model for children (Oliveria et al. 1992; Hood et al. 2000).

Head Start, with its almost one million low-income preschool children from diverse racial/ethnic backgrounds, is potentially an ideal setting for developing and implementing obesity prevention efforts. Although there are no detailed studies of the prevalence of obesity in the Head Start population, it is likely that between 15 and 20 percent of enrolled children are obese (Story et al. 2006; Dennison et al. 2006; Whitaker and Orzol 2006). The Head Start Program Performance Standards define minimum requirements for health care, nutrition, physical activity, and other services (National Archives and Records Administration 2006). For nutrition, these performance standards require that meals provide at least one-third of a child's daily nutritional needs in center-based, part day programs, and one-half to two-thirds in center-based full day programs; that staff and children eat together family style and share the same menu; and that programs adhere to serving sizes and minimum standards for nutrient content and menu planning required by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's National School Lunch and School Breakfast Program and Child and Adult Care Food Program. For physical activity, programs are required to provide sufficient time, indoor and outdoor space, equipment, materials, and adult guidance to promote active play that supports the development of gross and fine motor skills.

Creative approaches to obesity prevention have begun in Head Start with a program enhancement called "I Am Moving I Am Learning" (IM/IL), which is intended to increase the time children spend being physically active and to improve the quality of their structured movement and food choices. Specifically, the three goals of IM/IL are (1) to increase the quantity of time children spend in moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) during

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Following the recommendation of the Institute of Medicine in its report on preventing childhood obesity, this report uses the term "obese" (rather than "overweight") to describe children who have a body mass index (weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared) at or above the 95th percentile for age and sex.

their daily routine, so that they meet national guidelines for physical activity; (2) to improve the quality of structured movement activities that are intentionally facilitated by teachers and adults; and (3) to promote healthy food choices for children each day. Rather than a prescribed stand-alone curriculum, *IM/IL* offers a framework that programs can use to design a set of enhancements that will fit their unique programmatic needs and integrate obesity prevention into their Head Start routines and practices.

Head Start Region III developed *IM/IL* in 2004 in response to a request from the Office of Head Start. *IM/IL* was designed to promote healthy behavior and prevent childhood obesity under the leadership of Nancy Elmore, Head Start Program Manager, Region III, Amy Requa, Pediatric Nurse Practitioner and Region III TA Health specialist and Dr. Linda Carson, Director of the West Virginia Motor Development Center, West Virginia University. The resulting program enhancement was piloted with 17 Region III programs in FY 2005. Based on the success of the pilot trainings, 53 more Head Start grantees in Region III were trained in the spring of 2006. Additional Head Start programs have received training since the spring of 2006, bringing the total number of grantees trained in Region III to 105. In early 2007, the Director of the Office of Head Start requested that all regions receive *IM/IL* training and, as of June 2007, 66 Region IX and 34 Region I programs have received the training.

In the spring of 2006, Head Start Region III initiated a broad implementation of *IM/IL* involving 53 programs. The approach to this implementation was a training-of-trainers (TOT) model. Each of the 53 programs sent a team of up to five representatives to the 2½-day *IM/IL* training on nutrition and physical activity,² with the expectation that the team members would return to train their colleagues. The representatives usually included senior staff such as the program director, child development and education manager, health services manager, and family and community partnerships manager. Each program's team worked in small groups with teams from other programs. At the training, representatives exchanged ideas about possible enhancements and had an opportunity to develop their own strategy for implementing *IM/IL*. They also learned about methods for identifying needs for staff training and technical assistance, implementing and sustaining program enhancements, and assessing outcomes. The trainers and Region III staff encouraged participants to tailor the *IM/IL* enhancements to their own programs.

The Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation (OPRE) under the Administration for Children and Families (ACF) contracted with Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. (MPR) to conduct an implementation evaluation of the *IM/IL* enhancements in Region III. The purpose of this study is to examine the extent to which grantees who participated in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The *IM/IL* training event included five separate workshops: (1) "A Movement Vocabulary for Young Children," presented by Dr. Carson; (2) "MVPA—It's Everywhere!" presented by Ms. Patty Kimbrell, Physical Activity Consultant, San Diego University; (3) "Nutrition Building Blocks," presented by Dr. Cindy Fitch, Associate Professor of Human Nutrition and Foods, West Virginia University; (4) "Moving with the Brain in Mind," presented by Mr. Joe Smith, Jefferson Elementary Center; and (5) "Resources for Family Meals—Setting the Table," presented by Ms. Requa. The training event also included the introduction of "Choosy," a large green mascot who serves as the symbol of the *IM/IL* initiative.